

1 2 3 4	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney ROBERT J. ARTUZ Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	Officed States of Afficience		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-MJ-00134-KJN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR	
13	v.	PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE 5.1(D) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME AND	
14	HOPELYN RHIANNON AUSK,	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER	
15	Defendant.	DATE: September 29, 2020	
	Defendant.	TIME: 2:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Allison Claire	
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Special Assistant U.S.		
18	Attorney ROBERT J. ARTUZ, and Defendant, Hopelyn Ausk, both individually and by and through her		
19	counsel of record, Doug Beevers, hereby stipulate as follows:		

- 1. The Complaint in this case was filed on September 3, 2020, and Defendant Hopelyn Ausk first appeared before a judicial officer of the Court in which the charges in this case were pending on September 11, 2020. The Court set a preliminary hearing date of September 29, 2020.
- 2. By this fourth stipulation, the parties jointly move for an extension of time of the preliminary hearing date to October 30, 2020, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge, pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties stipulate that the delay is required to allow the defense reasonable time for preparation, and for the government's collection and production of discovery and continuing investigation of the case. For example, the government is continuing to provide discovery relevant to this case. Defense counsel needs additional time to review and consider

1 STIPULATION

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	all the evidence and to conduct further investigation. The parties further agree that the interests of			
2	justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in			
3	a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).			
4	3. The parties agree that good cause exists for the extension of time, and that the extension			
5	of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.			
6	Therefore, the parties request that the time between September 29, 2020, and October 30, 2020, be			
7	excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4.			
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
9				
10	Dated: September 21, 2020	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney		
11		Officed States Attorney		
12		/s/ ROBERT J. ARTUZ		
		ROBERT J. ARTUZ Special Assistant U.S. Attorney		
13				
14	Dated: September 21, 2020	/s/ DOUG BEEVERS		
15	Dated. September 21, 2020	DOUG BEEVERS Assistant Federal Defender		
16		Counsel for Defendant		
17		Hopelyn Rhiannon Ausk		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

STIPULATION 2

1 2	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney ROBERT J. ARTUZ				
3	Special Assistant U.S. Attorney				
	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814				
5	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
7	United States of America				
8					
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-MJ-00134-KJN			
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PRELIMINARY			
13	v.	HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE 5.1(d) AND			
14	HOPELYN RHIANNON AUSK,	EXCLUDING TIME			
15		DATE: September 29, 2020 TIME: 2:00 p.m.			
16	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. Allison Claire			
17					
18	The Court has read and considered the St	ipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing			
19	Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, f	iled by the parties in this matter on September 21, 2020.			
	The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which	th this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,			
20	demonstrates good cause for an extension of time	e for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule			
21 22	5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.				
23	Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests				
	of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant				
24	in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would				
25	not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.				
26	THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:				
27	1. The date of the preliminary hearin	ng is extended to October 30, 2020, at 2:00 p.m.			
28	The second of th	5			

Case 2:20-cr-00204-JAM Document 11 Filed 09/22/20 Page 4 of 4

	1		
1	2.	The time between September 29, 20	020, and October 30, 2020, shall be excluded from
2	calculation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).		
3	3.	Defendants shall appear at that date	e and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty.
4			
5	IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
6	DATED: Sep	ptember 21, 2020	auson Clane
7			ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8			UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			